Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/IB2003/001753

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

| | (1 C1 A1) | icle 36 and Rule 70) | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 38R-PCT-07 | FOR FURTHE | RACTION | See Form PCT/IPEA/416 |
| International application No. PCT/IB2003/001753 | International filing 09 April 20 | g date (day/month/year) 003 (09.04.2003) | Priority date (day/month/year) |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or na C12N 11/04 | ational classification | and IPC | 09 April 2003 (09.04.2003) |
| Applicant PROENC | OL INDUSTRIA | BIOTECNOLOGIC | CA. LDA |
| This report is the international prelim Authority under Article 35 and transn | ino-rous in the | | |
| This REPORT consists of a total of _ This report is also accompanied by Al | 5 shee | ets, including this cover sh | neet. |
| a. (sent to the applicant and to | o the International | Bureau) a total of <u>2</u> | sheets, as follows: |
| sheets of the descrip | ntion claims and/or | -d | en amended and are the basis of this report ity (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the |
| sheets which supers | ede earlier cheete | hora matetat at the second | considers contain an amendment that goes sindicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the |
| b (sent to the International readable form only, as indicated Administrative Instructions). | Bureau only) a , cont cated in the Supple | total of (indicate type aining a sequence listing mental Box Relating to | e and number of electronic carrier(s)) and/or tables related thereto, in computer Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the |
| 4. This report contains indications relating | g to the following it | ems: | |
| Box No. I Basis of the repor | rt | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishmen | nt of opinion with re | egard to novelty, inventive | e step and industrial applicability |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of in | nvention | | |
| citations and expla | FF8 | (2) with regard to novelty such statement | , inventive step or industrial applicability; |
| Box No. VI Certain documents | | • | |
| I I | the international ap | | |
| Certain observation | ns on the internatio | nal application | |
| ate of submission of the demand | | Date of completion of th | is renort |
| 09 November 2004 (09.11.20 | 004) | | mber 2005 (13.09.2005) |
| me and mailing address of the IPEA/EP | | Authorized officer | (|
| esimile No. | | Telephone No. | |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

| led, unless ich have been riginally filed" |
|--|
| · |
| ch have been iginally filed" |
| ich have been riginally filed" |
| ich have been riginally filed" |
| ich have been riginally filed" |
| ich have been riginally filed" |
| ich have been riginally filed" |
| |
| |
| y filed/furnishe |
| |
| |
| |
| filed/furnishe |
| under Article |
| 08.2005) |
| |
| - |
| filed/furnished |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| not been ntal Box |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| li li |
| |
| |
| |

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/01753

NO

| Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporti | 35(2) with regard to no ing such statement | ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicab | ility; |
|--|---|---|----------|
| Statement | | | |
| Novelty (N) | Claims | 1-31 | YES |
| | Claims | | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims | 2, 3, 22-29 | YES |
| | Claims | 1, 4-21, 30, 31 | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-31 | — YES |
| | | | |

2. Citations and explanations

Reference is made to the following documents:

Claims

- D1: FR-A-2 519 022 (RHONE POULENC SA) 1 July 1983 (1983-07-01)
- D2: EP-A-0 578 617 (SIAPA SPA) 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12)
- D3: EP-A-0 350 374 (MOET & CHANDON) 10 January 1990 (1990-01-10)
- D4: FR-A-2 812 655 (LALLEMAND SA) 8 February 2002 (2002-02-08)
- 1. None of the documents cited in the international search report anticipates the present claims 1 to 31. Specifically, the presence of a physically separate nutrient supply in the binding medium is not described in documents D1 to D4.

It follows that the subject matter of claims 1 to 31 is novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

- D3 is considered to be the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 (cf. D3, pages 4 to 6, examples I to IV).
 - D3 differs from claim 1 in that it does not describe

the presence of a physically separate nutrient supply in one or more separate areas of the carrier medium.

According to the present application, the problem that the present invention is intended to solve is considered to be that of promoting access to the nutrients by the micro-organisms located furthest from the outside of the micro-organism binding medium (cf. page 2, line 19 to page 3, line 2 of the present application).

However, claim 1 in its present form does not appear to solve this technical problem over the entire scope thereof.

Indeed, for said technical problem to be solved, the nutrient supply must be located not at the outer boundary of the micro-organism binding medium but as far away as possible therefrom (cf. claims 2 and 3 and claim 22). However, no equivalent technical feature is present in claim 1. As a result, claim 1 does not solve the above-mentioned technical problem over the entire scope thereof.

No other specific technical problem linked to the fact that "the nutrient supply is physically separate in one or more separate areas" of the micro-organism carrier medium appears to have been solved by claim 1. Therefore, this technical feature is considered to be an arbitrary selection. Under no circumstances can the insertion of an arbitrary technical feature be considered to involve an inventive step. It follows that claim 1 does not involve an inventive step as defined in PCT Article 33(3).

The same argument applies mutatis mutandis to the subject matter of independent claims 21, 30 and 31,

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/IB 03/01753

which thus do not involve an inventive step either.

Dependent claims 4 to 20 do not contain any features which, when combined with the features of any one of the claims to which they refer, might define subject matter that complies with the requirements of inventive step of the PCT (see documents D1 to D4 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report).

3. The subject matter of claims 2, 3 and 22 to 29 is not found in or obvious from the prior art.